	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/930,013	SHANMUGHAM, SARAVANAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Martin Lerner	2654
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperatus All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in thi or other appropriate communic GHTS. This application is subj	s application. If not included ation will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>Amendment filed 02 I</u>	March 2005.	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1 to 84.		
3. $\boxtimes$ The drawings filed on <u>14 August 2001</u> are accepted by the	Examiner.	
<ul> <li>4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: <ol> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	been received. been received in Application N	lo
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	of this communication to file a r ENT of this application.	eply complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	itted. Note the attached EXAMII	NER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF claration is deficient.
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	t be submitted.	
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-948) attached		
1)  hereto or 2)  to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the	84(c)) should be written on the d	rawings in the front (not the back) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT I	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERI	AL must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s)  1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No./Mail Date  4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	6. ☐ Interview Sumn Paper No./Mai 8), 7. ☐ Examiner's Am	I Date
of Biological Material	9.	

## **EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding independent claims 1, 13, 22, 34, 43, 55, 64, and 76, the prior art of record does not disclose or suggest flushing (or purging) a buffer upon detecting a barge-in event (or a barge-in sound). Wildfeuer, Chapman et al., and Smyth et al. disclose that it is known to utilize a jitter buffer for receiving audio packets. Particularly, Wildfeuer discloses flushing voice packets in a frame delay buffer 66 upon detection of a DTMF signal for transmission to reduce packet transmission delay of in-band signals. (Column 7, Lines 12 to 22: Figure 7, Step 218) However, Wildfeuer flushes a frame delay buffer 66 for transmitting packets instead of jitter buffer 32 for receiving packets. and does not disclose barge-in. White et al. teaches a distributed voice user interface having barge-in components 38 and 66. (Column 11, Line 65 to Column 12, Line 13; Column 15, Lines 27 to 52) However, White et al. does not say anything about flushing a buffer upon barge-in. Normally, those skilled in the art would expect barge-in to cease playing a prompt, but not necessarily flush a buffer. Applicant's Specification, Pages 1 to 3, suggests an advantage of improving response time to barge-in for distributed speech systems. The prior art does not suggest the combination of flushing (or purging) a buffer upon detecting a barge-in event (or a barge-in sound) in order to improve response time for distributed speech systems.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

Application/Control Number: 09/930,013 Page 3

Art Unit: 2654

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Martin Lerner whose telephone number is (571) 272-7608. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (571) 272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ML 4/21/05

Martin Lerner

Examiner

Group Art Unit 2654